



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/724,943	12/01/2003	Christopher J. Stone	BCS03152_USA	3634

43471 7590 02/03/2009

Motorola, Inc.  
Law Department  
1303 East Algonquin Road  
3rd Floor  
Schaumburg, IL 60196

EXAMINER
----------

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

DATE MAILED: 02/03/2009

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief (37 CFR 41.37)</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/724,943	<b>Applicant(s)</b> STONE, CHRISTOPHER J.	
	<b>Examiner</b> PAUL GRAHAM	<b>Art Unit</b> 2426	

**--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--**

The Appeal Brief filed on 13 November 2008 is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 41.37.

To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file an amended brief or other appropriate correction (see MPEP 1205.03) within **ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS** from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer.

**EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.**

1. ☒ The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.
2. ☐ The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).
3. ☐ At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).
4. ☒ (a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).
5. ☐ The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi)).
6. ☒ The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).
7. ☐ The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).
8. ☐ The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner **and relied upon by appellant in the appeal**, along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).
9. ☐ The brief does not contain copies of the decisions rendered by a court or the Board in the proceeding identified in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of the brief as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(x)).
10. ☒ Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):

See Continuation Sheet.

/VIVEK SRIVASTAVA/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2426

Continuation of 10. Other (including any explanation in support of the above items): The status of the claims section includes more information than is necessary, the first sentence will suffice. The subsequent sentences are actually grounds of rejection and may be deemed confusing. See 37 CFR 41.37 (c)(1)(iii)

The Summary of Claimed subject matter is missing use of reference characters for the figure elements. For example, it seems that requesting DVR is reference (10), per p. 4, l. 15 of instant specification. See 37 CFR 41.37 (c)(1)(v).

The argument section does not need blank fields or "none" fields for each rejection type NOT USED, this section is for appellant's contentions with respect to each ground of rejection presented (the aforementioned rejections were not presented). See 37 CFR 41.37 (c)(1)(vii).

Further, the arguments section does not use subheadings for claim(s) that are argued separately, for instance, within "Group 3" claim 5 and claim 21 are argued separately, yet no separate subheading is used to introduce the argument. See 37 CFR 41.37 (c)(1)(vii)

The brief does not contain items required under 37 CFR 41.37 (c) in proper headings or proper order. Sections VIII-X containing a clean copy of the appealed claims does not need to contain an empty Appendix page(s), the notice on page 12 of appeal brief will suffice, as well as placement of the clean copy of claims under the heading VIII-Claims Appendix.